



# Medical Coverage Policy

Effective Date .....4/15/2024

Next Review Date .....4/15/2025

Coverage Policy Number..... 0315

## Gait Analysis

### Table of Contents

- Overview ..... 2
- Coverage Policy..... 2
- General Background ..... 2
- Medicare Coverage Determinations ..... 4
- Coding Information..... 4
- References ..... 5
- Revision Details ..... 8

### Related Coverage Resources

[Electrodiagnostic Testing \(EMG/NCV\)](#)

### **INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE**

*The following Coverage Policy applies to health benefit plans administered by Cigna Companies. Certain Cigna Companies and/or lines of business only provide utilization review services to clients and do not make coverage determinations. References to standard benefit plan language and coverage determinations do not apply to those clients. Coverage Policies are intended to provide guidance in interpreting certain standard benefit plans administered by Cigna Companies. Please note, the terms of a customer’s particular benefit plan document [Group Service Agreement, Evidence of Coverage, Certificate of Coverage, Summary Plan Description (SPD) or similar plan document] may differ significantly from the standard benefit plans upon which these Coverage Policies are based. For example, a customer’s benefit plan document may contain a specific exclusion related to a topic addressed in a Coverage Policy. In the event of a conflict, a customer’s benefit plan document always supersedes the information in the Coverage Policies. In the absence of a controlling federal or state coverage mandate, benefits are ultimately determined by the terms of the applicable benefit plan document. Coverage determinations in each specific instance require consideration of 1) the terms of the applicable benefit plan document in effect on the date of service; 2) any applicable laws/regulations; 3) any relevant collateral source materials including Coverage Policies and; 4) the specific facts of the particular situation. Each coverage request should be reviewed on its own merits. Medical directors are expected to exercise clinical judgment where appropriate and have discretion in making individual coverage determinations. Where coverage for care or services does not depend on specific circumstances, reimbursement will only be provided if a requested service(s) is submitted in accordance with the relevant criteria outlined in the applicable Coverage Policy, including covered diagnosis and/or procedure code(s). Reimbursement is not allowed for services when billed for conditions or diagnoses that are not covered under this Coverage Policy (see "Coding Information" below). When billing, providers must use the most appropriate codes as of the effective date of the submission. Claims submitted for services that are not accompanied by covered code(s) under the applicable Coverage Policy will be denied as not covered. Coverage Policies relate exclusively to the administration of health*

*benefit plans. Coverage Policies are not recommendations for treatment and should never be used as treatment guidelines. In certain markets, delegated vendor guidelines may be used to support medical necessity and other coverage determinations.*

## Overview

This Coverage Policy addresses computerized gait analysis, also referred to as motion analysis.

## Coverage Policy

**Computerized gait analysis is considered medically necessary when BOTH of the following criteria are met:**

- A child or adolescent has a diagnosis of cerebral palsy.
- The procedure is performed as part of a preoperative assessment, and the results will be used in surgical planning.

**Gait analysis for any other indication is considered not medically necessary.**

## General Background

Gait analysis, also referred to as motion analysis, is the systematic evaluation of the dynamics of gait. It is a process of measuring and evaluating the walking patterns of patients with specific gait-related problems. Observational gait analysis, the standard method of evaluating gait, refers to the visual assessment of a patient's gait, with specific attention to hips, knees and ankles. Gait analysis by observer assessment does not use any specialized equipment, can adequately assess most conditions, and is used to note gross abnormalities in gait.

Gait analysis may also be performed in a gait analysis laboratory using specialized technology. This is also referred to as computerized gait analysis, quantitative gait analysis or clinical gait analysis. This procedure has been used to understand the etiology of gait abnormalities and as part of the treatment decision-making in patients with complex walking problems. It has been most often used for patients with neuromuscular conditions, primarily as part of the surgical decision-making process when all conservative measures have been exhausted and surgical intervention is being considered. Computerized gait analysis is a process by which gait characteristics are measured, abnormalities are identified, causes are suggested, and treatments are proposed. It is not intended to replace the clinical examination, but rather serves as an adjunct to understand the impairment better. The treatment decision should be made in the total context of the patient's condition, physical examination and medical history.

The technologies involved in clinical gait analysis include:

- Specialized computer-interfaced video cameras that measure patient motion. An initial videotape is recorded to provide documentation of how a patient walks and the patient's gait pattern.
- Passive reflective markers are placed on the surface of a patient's skin, aligning with specific bony landmarks and joints. As the patient walks along a straight pathway in the laboratory, the locations of the markers are monitored with a three-dimensional motion data-capture system comprising five or six special video cameras, all interfaced with a central controlling computer. An infrared light is reflected from the markers back to the cameras. Marker position data allow for the computation of the angular orientation of particular body segments as well as of the angles between segments (joint angles); these data are collectively referred to as kinematics.
- Multicomponent force platforms imbedded in the walkway provide measurement of reaction between foot and ground as the patient walks. The data are assessed directly or used to calculate the load in and across the joints. The joint load is referred to as kinetics.

- Electrodes placed on the surface of the skin or inserted as fine wires into specific muscles allow the muscle to be monitored as the patient walks. This is referred to as dynamic electromyography (EMG). This technique measures the electrical potential generated by a muscle when it is activated. This information, along with joint kinematic and kinetic results, is used to assess the gait abnormalities.

An extensive physical examination of the patient at rest should be performed. This information may then be correlated with the gait data. The gait analysis will usually take two to four hours to complete. In order to perform gait analysis, the patient must be ambulatory with or without assistive devices for a minimum of 10 consecutive steps. The patient must also be able to follow directions and be cooperative during the procedure. The gait analysis data are often interpreted by a team that includes the orthopedic surgeon; the physical therapist or kinesiologist who collected the data; and, at times, the engineer who collected data or the biomechanical engineer who developed the mathematical models used for processing the data. The information from the gait analysis is used along with results of the clinical examination to identify gait deviations, determine potential causes and determine treatment.

The most frequent application of gait analysis is in the treatment of children and adolescents with cerebral palsy, when surgical treatment is being considered. The orthopedic difficulties encountered in children with cerebral palsy are frequently a result of high muscle tone, spasticity and rigidity that prevent normal growth of muscle and cause contractures. Treatment of this condition includes physical therapy, occupational therapy, casting, orthotics and medication. Surgery is often recommended when contractures are severe enough to cause movement problems. Gait analysis may be utilized to determine if surgery is necessary and to determine which surgical procedure is appropriate. There are several published studies regarding the use of gait analysis to provide objective information in the surgical planning process for this condition.

#### **Literature Review—Cerebral Palsy Treatment Planning**

There have been several prospective and retrospective studies that have been published regarding the utilization of gait analysis in the surgical decision-making process in children and adolescents with cerebral palsy (Wren, et al., 2013; Wren, et al., 2011; Wren, et al., 2009; Gough, et al., 2008; Lofterod, et al., 2008; Filho, et al., 2080; Lofterod, et al., 2007; Molenaers, et al., 2006; Kawamura, et al., 2006; Desloovere, et al., 2006; Chang, et al., 2006). These studies have demonstrated that the use of gait analysis alters the decision making and changes the treatment that these patients receive, including confirming clinical indications for surgery, and for excluding or delaying surgery that was clinically proposed.

#### **Literature Review—Other Conditions**

Gait Analysis has been proposed for various other conditions. There is insufficient evidence in the published, peer-reviewed scientific literature that demonstrate improved long term health outcomes with the use of dynamic gait analysis for other conditions.

Campanini et al. (2020) proposed the use of dynamic EMG in the assessment of the equinus and the equinovarus foot deviation in stroke patients. The article included individual patient case reports.

Radler et al. (2010) conducted a prospective study to investigate the correlation of femoral torsion and tibial torsion as measured by using computed tomography with transverse plane gait data for patients with rotational malalignment of the lower extremities in 26 patients (26 limbs). The authors note that further studies are needed to define more accurate methods for assessment of transverse plane rotation and the relationship of the joint partners during walking. The study was limited with a small number of subjects and that it was not randomized.

A systematic review was conducted by Ornetti et al. (2009) that examined gait analysis as a quantifiable outcome measure in hip and knee osteoarthritis (OA). The review included 30 reports (19 knee OA studies, 11 hip OA studies) studying 781 knee OA patients and 343 hip OA patients). It was found that gait analysis presents various feasibility issues and there was limited evidence regarding reliability (three studies, 67 patients). The authors concluded that available data concerning validity and reliability of kinematic gait analysis are insufficient to date to consider kinematic parameters as valuable outcome measures in OA and further studies evaluating a large number of patients are needed.

Sankar et al. (2009) evaluated 35 patients (56 feet) with recurrent clubfoot in a retrospective study. According to the investigators, after quantitative gait analysis there were 28 changed procedures in 19 of 30 patients (63%) compared to pre-study surgical plans. Study limitations include small study size, retrospective design and the study did not address how computerized gait analysis affects patient outcomes.

Williams et al. (2008) investigated objective measures to compare gait before and after cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage and shunt surgery. Gait abnormalities are an early clinical symptom in normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) and subjective improvement in gait after temporary removal of CSF is often used to decide to perform shunt surgery. The study included 20 patients and nine controls. Quantitative gait measures were obtained at baseline, after three days of controlled CSF drainage, and after shunt surgery. The authors concluded that there are significant, quantifiable changes in gait after CSF drainage that corresponds to improvement after shunt surgery for patients with NPH. Use of objective gait assessment may improve the process of identifying these candidates when response to CSF removal is used as a supplemental prognostic test for shunt surgery. These findings require confirmation in a larger study.

**Professional Societies/Organizations**

**National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS):** NINDS notes regarding treatment options for cerebral palsy that orthopedic surgery is often recommended when spasticity and stiffness are severe enough to make walking and moving about difficult or painful. Surgeons can lengthen muscles and tendons that are proportionately too short, which can improve mobility and lessen pain. Tendon surgery may help the symptoms for some children with CP but could also have negative long-term consequences. Orthopedic surgeries may be staggered at times appropriate to a child's age and level of motor development. Surgery can also correct or greatly improve spinal deformities.

Surgery to cut nerves, or selective dorsal rhizotomy (SDR), is a surgical procedure recommended for cases of severe spasticity when all of the more conservative treatments haven't helped. A surgeon locates and selectively severs overactivated nerves at the base of the spinal column. SDR is most commonly used to relax muscles and decrease chronic pain in limbs. Potential side effects include sensory loss, numbness, or uncomfortable sensations (NINDS, 2023).

**American Physical Therapy Association (APTA):** The APTA Clinical Practice Guideline 'A Core Set of Outcome Measures for Adults With Neurologic Conditions Undergoing Rehabilitation' (Moore, et al., 2018) does not address dynamic gait analysis.

**Medicare Coverage Determinations**

	<b>Contractor</b>	<b>Determination Name/Number</b>	<b>Revision Effective Date</b>
NCD	National	No National Coverage Determination	
LCD		No Local Coverage Determination	

Note: Please review the current Medicare Policy for the most up-to-date information. (NCD = National Coverage Determination; LCD = Local Coverage Determination)

**Coding Information**

**Notes:**

1. This list of codes may not be all-inclusive since the American Medical Association (AMA) and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.
2. Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement.

**Considered Medically Necessary when criteria in the applicable policy statements listed above are met:**

<b>CPT®*</b> <b>Codes</b>	<b>Description</b>
96000	Comprehensive computer-based motion analysis by video-taping and 3D kinematics
96001	Comprehensive computer-based motion analysis by video-taping and 3D kinematics; with dynamic plantar pressure measurements during walking
96002	Dynamic surface electromyography, during walking or other functional activities, 1-12 muscles
96003	Dynamic fine wire electromyography, during walking or other functional activities, 1 muscle
96004	Review and interpretation by physician or other qualified health care professional of comprehensive computer-based motion analysis, dynamic plantar pressure measurements, dynamic surface electromyography during walking or other functional activities, and dynamic fine wire electromyography, with written report

<b>ICD-10-CM</b> <b>Diagnosis</b> <b>Codes</b>	<b>Description</b>
G80.0	Spastic quadriplegic cerebral palsy
G80.1	Spastic diplegic cerebral palsy
G80.2	Spastic hemiplegic cerebral palsy
G80.4	Ataxic cerebral palsy
G80.8	Other cerebral palsy
G80.9	Cerebral palsy, unspecified

**Considered Not Medically Necessary:**

<b>ICD-10-CM</b> <b>Diagnosis</b> <b>Codes</b>	<b>Description</b>
	All other codes

**\*Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) ©2023 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.**

## References

1. Baker R. Gait analysis methods in rehabilitation. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2006 Mar 2;3:4.
2. Barkoudah E, Glader L. Cerebral palsy: Treatment of spasticity, dystonia, and associated orthopedic issues. In: UpToDate, Post TW (Ed), UpToDate, Waltham, MA. Literature review current through Jan 2024. Topic last updated Nov 17, 2023.
3. Campanini I, Cosma M, Manca M, Merlo A. Added Value of Dynamic EMG in the Assessment of the Equinus and the Equinovarus Foot Deviation in Stroke Patients and Barriers Limiting Its Usage. Front Neurol. 2020 Nov 19;11:583399.
4. Chang FM, Seidl AJ, Muthusamy K, Meininger AK, Carollo JJ. Effectiveness of instrumented gait analysis in children with cerebral palsy--comparison of outcomes. J Pediatr Orthop. 2006 Sep-Oct;26(5):612-6.

5. Chang FM, Rhodes JT, Flynn KM, Carollo JJ. The role of gait analysis in treating gait abnormalities in cerebral palsy. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2010 Oct;41(4):489-506.
6. Davids JR. Quantitative gait analysis in the treatment of children with cerebral palsy. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2006 Jul-Aug;26(4):557-9.
7. Dugan EL, Shilt JS. The Role of Motion Analysis in Surgical Planning for Gait Abnormalities in Cerebral Palsy. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* 2020;31(1):107–115.
8. Desloovere K, Molenaers G, Feys H, Huenaerts C, Callewaert B, Van de Walle P. Do dynamic and static clinical measurements correlate with gait analysis parameters in children with cerebral palsy? *Gait Posture.* 2006 Nov;24(3):302-13.
9. Filho MC, Yoshida R, Carvalho Wda S, Stein HE, Novo NF. Are the recommendations from three-dimensional gait analysis associated with better postoperative outcomes in patients with cerebral palsy? *Gait Posture.* 2008 Aug;28(2):316-22.
10. Gough M, Shortland AP. Can clinical gait analysis guide the management of ambulant children with bilateral spastic cerebral palsy? *J Pediatr Orthop.* 2008 Dec;28(8):879-83.
11. Kawamura CM, de Morais Filho MC, Barreto MM, de Paula Asa SK, Juliano Y, Novo NF. Comparison between visual and three-dimensional gait analysis in patients with spastic diplegic cerebral palsy. *Gait Posture.* 2007 Jan;25(1):18-24.
12. Kay RM, Dennis S, Rethlefsen S, Skaggs DL, Tolo VT. Impact of postoperative gait analysis on orthopaedic care. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2000 May;(374):259-64.
13. Khouri N, Desailly E. Contribution of clinical gait analysis to single-event multi-level surgery in children with cerebral palsy. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2017 Feb;103(1S):S105-S111.
14. Lofterød B, Terjesen T, Skaaret I, Huse AB, Jahnsen R. Preoperative gait analysis has a substantial effect on orthopedic decision making in children with cerebral palsy: comparison between clinical evaluation and gait analysis in 60 patients. *Acta Orthop.* 2007 Feb;78(1):74-80.
15. Lofterød B, Terjesen T. Results of treatment when orthopaedic surgeons follow gait-analysis recommendations in children with CP. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2008 Jul;50(7):503-9.
16. Molenaers G, Desloovere K, Fabry G, De Cock P. The effects of quantitative gait assessment and botulinum toxin on musculoskeletal surgery in children with cerebral palsy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006 Jan;88(1):161-70.
17. Moore JL, Potter K, Blankshain K, Kaplan SL, O'Dwyer LC, Sullivan JE. A Core Set of Outcome Measures for Adults With Neurologic Conditions Undergoing Rehabilitation: A CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE. *J Neurol Phys Ther.* 2018 Jul;42(3):174-220. Accessed Feb 2024. Available at URL address: <https://www.apta.org/patient-care/evidence-based-practice-resources/clinical-practice-guidelines>  
<https://www.apta.org/patient-care/evidence-based-practice-resources/clinical-practice-guidelines/a-core-set-of-outcome-measures-for-adults-with-neurologic-conditions-undergoing-rehabilitation-cpg>

18. Narayanan UG. Management of children with ambulatory cerebral palsy: an evidence-based review. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2012 Sep;32 Suppl 2:S172-81.
19. NINDS cerebral palsy information page. Cerebral Palsy: Hope Through Research. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Bethesda, MD: NINDS, National Institutes of Health (NIH).  
Last reviewed on November 28, 2023. Accessed February 2024. Available at URL address: <https://www.ninds.nih.gov/health-information/disorders/cerebral-palsy#toc-how-is-cerebral-palsy-diagnosed-and-treated->
20. Ornetti P, Maillefert JF, Laroche D, Morisset C, Dougados M, Gossec L. Gait analysis as a quantifiable outcome measure in hip or knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Joint Bone Spine*. 2010 Oct;77(5):421-5.
21. Piccinini L, Cimolin V, D'Angelo MG, Turconi AC, Crivellini M, Galli M. 3D gait analysis in patients with hereditary spastic paraparesis and spastic diplegia: a kinematic, kinetic and EMG comparison. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*. 2011 Mar;15(2):138-45.
22. Radler C, Kranzl A, Manner HM, Höglinger M, Ganger R, Grill F. Torsional profile versus gait analysis: consistency between the anatomic torsion and the resulting gait pattern in patients with rotational malalignment of the lower extremity. *Gait Posture*. 2010 Jul;32(3):405-10.
23. Sankar WN, Rethlefsen SA, Weiss J, Kay RM. The recurrent clubfoot: can gait analysis help us make better preoperative decisions? *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2009 May;467(5):1214-22.
24. States RA, Krzak JJ, Salem Y, Godwin EM, Bodkin AW, McMulkin ML. Instrumented gait analysis for management of gait disorders in children with cerebral palsy: A scoping review. *Gait Posture*. 2021 Oct;90:1-8.
25. Williams MA, Thomas G, de Lateur B, Imteyaz H, Rose JG, Shore WS, et al. Objective assessment of gait in normal-pressure hydrocephalus. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008 Jan;87(1):39-45.
26. Wren TA, Woolf K, Kay RM. How closely do surgeons follow gait analysis recommendations and why? *J Pediatr Orthop B*. 2005 May;14(3):202-5.
27. Wren TA, Kalisvaart MM, Ghatan CE, Rethlefsen SA, Hara R, Sheng M, et al. Effects of preoperative gait analysis on costs and amount of surgery. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2009 Sep;29(6):558-63.
28. Wren TA, Otsuka NY, Bowen RE, Scaduto AA, Chan LS, Sheng M, et al. Influence of gait analysis on decision-making for lower extremity orthopaedic surgery: Baseline data from a randomized controlled trial. *Gait Posture*. 2011a Jul;34(3):364-9.
29. Wren TA, Gorton GE 3rd, Ounpuu S, Tucker CA. Efficacy of clinical gait analysis: A systematic review. *Gait Posture*. 2011b Jun;34(2):149-53.
30. Wren TA, Otsuka NY, Bowen RE, Scaduto AA, Chan LS, Dennis SW, et al. Outcomes of lower extremity orthopedic surgery in ambulatory children with cerebral palsy with and without gait analysis: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Gait Posture*. 2013a Jun;38(2):236-41.

31. Wren TA, Lening C, Rethlefsen SA, Kay RM. Impact of gait analysis on correction of excessive hip internal rotation in ambulatory children with cerebral palsy: a randomized controlled trial. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2013b Oct;55(10):919-25.
32. Wren TA, Elihu KJ, Mansour S, Rethlefsen SA, Ryan DD, Smith ML, Kay RM. Differences in implementation of gait analysis recommendations based on affiliation with a gait laboratory. Gait Posture. 2013 Feb;37(2):206-9.

## Revision Details

Type of Revision	Summary of Changes	Date
Annual Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No policy statement changes.</li> </ul>	4/15/2024

---

“Cigna Companies” refers to operating subsidiaries of The Cigna Group. All products and services are provided exclusively by or through such operating subsidiaries, including Cigna Health and Life Insurance Company, Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, Evernorth Behavioral Health, Inc., Cigna Health Management, Inc., and HMO or service company subsidiaries of The Cigna Group. © 2024 The Cigna Group.